EXHIBIT 10

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	DOROTHY FORTH, DONNA
	BAILEY, LISA BULLARD,
4	RICARDO GONZALES, CYNTHIA Civil No. 1:17-cv-02246
_	RUSSO, TROY TERMINE,
5	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS
6	LOCAL 38 HEALTH AND
	WELFARE FUND, Judge John Z. Lee
7	INTERNATIONAL UNION OF Magistrate Judge
	OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL Sheila Finnegan
8	295-295C WELFARE FUND, AND STEAMFITTERS FUND LOCAL
9	439, on Behalf of
	Themselves and All Others
10	Similarly Situated,
11	Plaintiffs,
12	VS.
13	WALGREEN CO.,
	Defendant.
14	
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICARDO GONZALES
1 7	February 12, 2019
17	9:20 a.m.
18	Regus Office Suites
10	150 Washington Avenue Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
19	Santa re, New Mexico 67301
19	PURSUANT TO THE NEW MEXICO RULES OF CIVIL
20	PROCEDURE, this deposition was:
21	TAKEN BY: MR. MICHAEL LEIB
	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT
22	
	REPORTED BY: ANNE D. WIESE, RPR, NM CCR #301
23	VIDEOGRAPHER: SCOTT SAIZ
	TRATTEL COURT REPORTING
24	609 12TH STREET NW
	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
25	

Page 14 1 Q. How did you prepare for this deposition? 2 MS. KEARNS: Object to the form. 3 (By Mr. Leib) Did you prepare for this Q. deposition? 4 5 A. I did briefly go over, you know, a lot of the 6 different, you know, filings and papers that I 7 had -- that my attorney had submitted to me. MS. KEARNS: Rick, pardon me for a moment. 8 9 I just want to remind you, please do not disclose any substance of the conversations you had with 10 11 your attorneys. 12 THE WITNESS: Right. 13 So I, you know, briefly reviewed the documents, 14 and that was pretty much it. I mean, you know, 15 the -- that's -- you know, the facts as I know them are fairly straightforward in my mind, so there wasn't 16 17 really a lot of preparation necessary on my part. Q. (By Mr. Leib) Do you remember what documents 18 19 you reviewed? 20 MS. KEARNS: And again, Mr. Gonzales, if 2.1 anything you're about to say comes from the 22 conversations you had with your attorneys, don't include 23 that information. No, just, you know, I looked at everything that 24 25 had been sent to me previously and -- you know, and I

Page 15 1 had an electronic form in my computer. So my copies. Q. (By Mr. Leib) Do you remember what documents 2 those are specifically? 3 4 Α. No. Q. Did you meet with your lawyers to prepare for 5 6 this deposition? 7 A. We met. MS. KEARNS: Again, just the same reminder, 9 Rick. 10 Q. (By Mr. Leib) All my questions, I'm not asking 11 for the substance of conversations with your lawyers. 12 You understand that, right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Okay. How many times did you meet with your 15 lawyers? Α. 16 Once. 17 When was that? Q. 18 A. Yesterday. For about how long did you meet? 19 Ο. 20 A. I don't recall. I know we went into the early 2.1 evening, but -- and we started at -- maybe three hours. 22 Do you remember which lawyers you met with? 2.3 A. Yes. 24 The two sitting at the table here? 0. 25 Α. The two sitting.

Page 58 1 A. Uh-huh (yes). 2 MR. LEIB: I asked in the previous 3 question -- or a few previous questions ago, "Could you 4 describe that lawsuit." That does not offer any attorney-client privilege, it couldn't call for any 5 6 attorney-client privilege, so I object to the continuous 7 offering of the same instruction to the client. I believe the client -- your client already 9 understands, and I've asked your client not to disclose 10 any communications with your attorneys. 11 MS. KEARNS: And also I'll say for the 12 record it's my responsibility to remind Mr. Gonzales as 13 appropriate. 14 MR. LEIB: If he starts to go down that 15 road, I have no problem with you stopping him. (By Mr. Leib) Do you know what this particular 16 Ο. 17 lawsuit is about? 18 A. Yes. 19 O. What is it about? 20 That a number of individuals across the country 21 have had similar and dissimilar sorts of concerns and 22 complaints about Walgreens' pricing, about, you know, some people are getting different -- you know, are 2.3 24 paying different prices than others. 25 And what we're trying to do is to get

2.1

Page 59

Walgreens to stop doing this, and that all of us should -- or, you know, our -- we have every right to receive the lowest possible price that anyone else may be receiving, that there really hasn't been any -- there hasn't been any fairness. And we want Walgreens to stop that practice.

- Q. What are you claiming that Walgreens did wrong?
- A. Well, like I said, is when we came back from

 New Mexico, they would charge me something, and I said,

 "Well, that's not what you charged me last month." And

 I said, "Was there a price change? What was the reason

 for the increase?" And they wouldn't be able to give me

 a reason.

So I would call my insurance company, and they'd say, "Nothing has changed. Everything should be exactly the way it was the month before. We have no answer as to why. You need to go talk to the pharmacist."

So we make another trip and talked to the pharmacist and said, "Here's my receipts to show what I've been charged for these particular prescriptions. The minute I arrive in New Mexico, is there like roller coasters? What's going on?"

This isn't -- you know, that there have been no changes,

My insurance company says this is wrong.

no changes in the formularies, no changes of any kind, so they have no reason as to why the price is fluctuating so -- you know, so greatly.

Q. And is that what you're claiming in this lawsuit, is about price fluctuations?

2.1

2.3

A. Price fluctuations that -- you know, if they had a preferred club, you know, pricing club that I was never invited to be part of -- out of the last 46 years, I've been an exclusive Walgreens consumer. 34 of those years, I have never been made known that there was any sort of opportunity for me to sign up for something to receive some -- you know, preferred or lower pricing.

You've seen my prescription list. You know that probably the, you know, cash-paying customer, the value of those is significant every month. So what was the criteria for people being offered access to the club? 34 years wasn't enough? The fact that I've got a very extensive prescription list and my wife does as well, we don't qualify — there wasn't any sort of criteria. There wasn't ever any sort of advertising.

This weekend, my wife filled a prescription at Kroger, which is where we switched everything to.

And within the bag, when she picked up -- because she gave me the bags that had the receipts so I could keep it for my records for taxes. She does the financials

Page 61 1 but I do the taxes. And inside this bag was a flyer. 2 And it said, "Our Kroger Prescription Club is now available in Los Alamos. Go to this website and see if 3 4 it makes sense for you to join." And I did. \$240 a month -- \$240 a year 5 savings for a small investment. Guess what? We're 6 going to join. 7 Walgreens never did that for me. Walgreens 9 never told us anything. And as a consumer, because I 10 always had great insurance, I believe that my insurance company had negotiated the best possible rates for not 11 12 just me but for everyone else in their programs. But to 13 find out that other people were getting prescriptions 14 similar to ours at smaller rates did not sit well with 15 us. And, you know, I don't know all the class 16 17 I will never know all the class members. But it appears from all of the documents that I have seen is 18 19 this is a widespread problem, that I wasn't singled out. 20 There are lots of people that were, again, not given the 2.1 same opportunity as some others. And again, the 22 criteria for selecting those others is completely 2.3 unknown. O. You mentioned class actions. You understand 24 25 this is a class action case, correct?

Page 62 1 Α. Uh-huh (yes). 2 Q. Do you know what a class action is? 3 Α. Yeah. O. What is it? 4 5 Well, a number of individuals that have -- you 6 know, believe that they have, you know, actionable, you 7 know, reasons for complaint that could result in legal 8 action. 9 But the benefit is rather than each one of 10 us hiring an attorney and going after Walgreens 11 directly, there is a strength in numbers where we all 12 bind together, we all -- you know, that we all agree what are the basic reasons or basic concerns that we 13 14 have against, you know, such as Walgreens. 15 It actually simplifies the process, and I 16 believe it actually benefits both sides because, one, we don't have to hire -- I don't have to hire -- excuse 17 me -- an attorney to go after Walgreens -- excuse me --18 19 separately. 20 But for Walgreens, it's also beneficial 2.1 because they can consolidate their legal representation, 22 and I think -- and also probably, you know, minimizing 23 their costs. So it's actually -- it's a unique mechanism that really creates, you know, I think some 24 25 fairness and also financial sense by grouping these

actions the way they do.

- Q. Do you know what your role in this litigation is?
 - A. I'm one of the -- I'm a class representative.
- Q. What does that mean to be a class representative?
- A. Well, it's -- as a class representative, is my interests, of course, are not just my portion or -- you know, or my losses. My interest, of course, is to represent the interest of all of those others that believe that -- you know, that they have been wronged by Walgreens.

I want to -- you know, I plan to see this to the end, whatever that is, because I want to see that all of us are treated fairly and that Walgreens, you know, stops the practice that they have -- you know, that they have been, you know, doing for many, many years, and it has been hidden and done in secret behind me and everyone else.

We want fairness and of course -- you know, and restitution for -- you know, for the fact that we were overcharged for -- you know, for things that should have been sold to us at a cheaper price.

Q. I'm going to hand you what's previously been marked as Deposition -- Defendant's Deposition

Page 64 1 Exhibit 3. If you could take a look at this document 2 and tell me if you recognize this document? Uh-huh (yes), the first amendment, one of the 3 earlier documents. 4 5 Q. I'm sorry, what is it? One of the earlier documents, because it's, you 6 7 know, First Amended. I think you're what, on the Second Amended now? 8 9 You're talking about the Complaint, correct? 10 Α. Uh-huh (yes). 11 Have you read the First Amended Complaint 0. 12 before? 13 A. Briefly, yes. 14 When did you briefly read it? 15 When I -- as documents are created, they're sent to me. I review them. If there's anything that 16 17 needs to be brought to my attention that I need to focus on, I'm told about it. Otherwise, you know, I read it 18 19 as I can. 20 And again, it's -- it -- I don't see it as 2.1 my role to understand every aspect of every complaint in 22 every state. I do need to understand, of course -- you 23 know, understand and be able to represent the bigger 24 picture. 25 But no, I did not get down in the weeds to

Page 158 health insurance? 1 2 Yes, sir. Α. Q. And that included a prescription drug benefit, 3 correct? 4 5 A. Yes, sir. Other than your primary prescription drug plans 6 7 in South Carolina, Wisconsin and New Mexico that we've discussed today, did you have any other health insurance 8 9 plans that paid prescription drug benefits? 10 MS. KEARNS: Object to form. Are you 11 talking about 2007 to present? 12 MR. LEIB: Yes, just from 2007 to present. 13 A. Okay. Please rephrase it again. 14 O. (By Mr. Leib) Sure. 15 So we've been talking today about your prescription drug benefits under your South Carolina, 16 Wisconsin and New Mexico health insurance, correct? 17 18 Α. Uh-huh (yes). 19 Did you have any secondary health insurance at 20 any point between 2007 and the present? 2.1 Α. No. 22 Were you asked to collect documents for this 23 case --24 A. Yes. 25 Q. -- and provide them to -- strike that.

Page 159 1 start over. 2 Were you asked to collect documents for 3 this case and provide them to your lawyer? Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 0. Where did you look for documents? 6 MS. KEARNS: Object to form. 7 MR. LEIB: What's the objection to the form? 8 9 MS. KEARNS: "Where did you look for 10 documents?" 11 Q. (By Mr. Leib) Okay. Where did you look for 12 documents to turn over to your lawyer for purposes of 13 this case? 14 A. Computer. 15 Q. Is that the only place you looked? 16 Well, yeah, computer, because it's -- I have 17 all the correspondence between, you know, Walgreens and -- you know, and I used the search terms that were 18 19 given by you, your office for me to produce documents. 20 I did all of those searches, found numerous documents. 2.1 They were not altered, sorted, reviewed. It was, "You 22 requested this. I did that. Here's what they are," 23 and leave it to the -- our attorneys to, you know, review and sort out. 24 25 So I did not look at them, they just -- we

just collected them.

2.1

As I said earlier, I also contacted Walgreens and asked for a download, and I was given two years' worth.

- Q. When you say you contacted Walgreens, you meant you went on the website?
 - A. Yeah, went on the website.

But as I said earlier -- I also said that when I found that I could only do two years, I did call and ask if it was possible to get more, and I was told no.

- Q. I believe you said you may have called them.
- A. I'm pretty -- no, I think it's reasonably sure that I did.
- Q. So now are you sure that you did or you're not sure that you did call Walgreens after finding out that you could only print out two years' of data?
- A. It seems like something that I would do. So I think it's very plausible that I did do it because two years really wasn't much, and not -- to provide to my attorneys.
- Q. Do you have a present recollection, as you sit here today, of having had a phone conversation with somebody at Walgreens relating to your only being able to print out two years of data by going to

walgreens.com?

- A. I don't have a specific recollection of the discussion. But as I said, it was -- you know, it's plausible that I did because I would have been looking for more.
- Q. So let me see if I understand the process for how you collected documents. Could you describe that process. When I say describe the process -- you said you went to your computer and applied search terms?
 - A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. Can you give me more specific details about what you did.
 - A. I'm not sure I understand.
- Q. Well, do you have specific files within your computer that you looked at?
- A. Yeah, anything that pertained, whether it was an e-mail, whether it was -- yeah, anything that pertained because I -- because the search that I did was on the entire -- not only the entire hard drive but my entire external.

So everything that's on my computer, regardless of location, would have been found by those search terms, and then I, in turn, saved them in large groups and then eventually downloaded them to an upload site created by my attorney.

- Q. So you searched on the hard drive of your computer; is that correct?
 - A. And the external.

- Q. And you have an external hard drive as well?
- A. Yeah. And the reason the external is important is because, one, the external backs up the original to make sure I have a duplicate copy.

But because I only have so much storage on my computer, there are things that I archive. The archive items will only be on the large backup and not on the main computer. So if I do a search that includes the external, it's -- and again, I don't know if it did, but if it found something that met your search requirements, it would have pulled it out.

- Q. The computer that you searched, is it your computer?
 - A. My computer.
 - Q. Does your wife also have a computer?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you search on your wife's computer?
 - A. No, I didn't do that because she really doesn't -- I don't think we'd find anything on there. But I'd be happy to.
 - Q. You said earlier that your wife may have gone onto walgreens.com and downloaded prescription

Page 213 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 Civil No. 1:17-cv-02246 4 DOROTHY FORTH, et al., Plaintiffs, 5 vs. 6 WALGREEN CO., Defendant. 7 8 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 9 10 I, ANNE WIESE, RPR, NM CCR #301, DO HEREBY CERTIFY 11 that on February 12, 2019, the deposition of RICARDO 12 GONZALES was taken before me at the request of, and the 13 sealed original thereof was retained by: 14 Attorney for the Defendant: 15 MR. MICHAEL LEIB 16 Reed Smith LLP 10 South Wacker Drive 17 40th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 18 I FURTHER CERTIFY that copies of this Certificate 19 20 have been mailed or delivered to all counsel, and parties to the proceeding not represented by counsel, 21 22 appearing at the taking of the deposition. 23 I FURTHER CERTIFY that examination of this transcript and signature of the witness was requested by 24 25 the witness and/or all parties present. On , a

	Page 214
1	letter was mailed or delivered to the witness or his/her
2	attorney regarding obtaining signature of the witness;
3	and corrections, if any, will be appended to the
4	original transcript, and copies sent to place in each
5	copy of the deposition.
6	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the recoverable cost of the
7	original and one copy of the deposition, including
8	exhibits, to MR. MICHAEL LEIB is \$
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I did administer the oath to
10	the witness herein prior to the taking of this
11	deposition; that I did thereafter report in stenographic
12	shorthand the questions and answers set forth herein,
13	and the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
14	the proceeding had upon the taking of this deposition to
15	the best of my ability.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor
17	related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
18	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
19	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
20	disposition of this case in any court.
21	
22	Anna Debath Duigna UDD CCD
23	Anne Dorothed Wiese, RPR, CCR New Mexico Certified Court Reporter #301
24	License Expires: 12/31/19
25	